

REMARKS

This case was made special pursuant to MPEP §708.03(VIII) in a petition granted on July 17, 2001. It is therefore entitled to accelerated examination and printing (See MPEP §1309). The Examiner's cooperation in this regard is appreciated.

DRAWINGS

The Office Action objected to the drawings, requiring **Figures 5** and **6** to be labeled "Prior Art". It is hereby submitted that the material illustrated in **Figures 5** and **6** contains background material for this invention. However, no admission is being made at this time that such material meets the requirements under 35 U.S.C. § 102/103 to qualify as Prior Art. Therefore, the removal of this rejection is respectfully requested unless the Examiner can provide actual evidence to support that the material illustrated in **Figures 5** and **6** meets the requirements under 35 § U.S.C. 102/103 to qualify as prior art.

CLAIMS

Claim 11 has been amended to substantially combine the limitations of previously presented claim 9 and to incorporate most of the limitations of previously presented claim 11. All other previously presented claims have been canceled.

Progressively narrower new dependent claims 22 to 25 have been presented. No new matter is included; support for the limitations may be found in the specification, including claims, as originally filed. Entry into the record, examination and allowance is requested.

The Office Action of April 1, 2005 rejected claim 9 under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by Drew (U.S. Patent No. 6,545,100, hereinafter "Drew"). But Drew merely discloses a first core rather than a first core and a second core as claimed in (now canceled) claim 9 and (currently amended) claim 11. Therefore the reference does not teach capacitive compensation for first and second inductances associated with first and second cores respectively as presently claimed.

The Office Action of April 1, 2005 further rejected claim 11 under 35 U.S.C. § 112 as not complying with the enablement requirement. The Office Action particularly pointed out the language as to "capacitors electrically connected in parallel with an inter-winding capacitance". (04/01/2005 Final Office Action, page 3, lines 29 – 33). The referenced language has been removed by the current amendment of claim 11.

Further, it is respectfully submitted that claims 22 to 25 are each dependent, directly or indirectly, upon claim 11 and therefore it is respectfully submitted that claims 22 to 25 are allowable for at least the same reasons as claim 11.

All other claims have been canceled; rejections to them are now moot.

SUMMARY

Continued examination and reconsideration of this application is respectfully requested. Claim 11 has been amended, claims 22 to 25 are newly presented, all other claims have been canceled.

It is respectfully submitted that all rejections and objections have been overcome and that all pending claims are in condition for allowance. Allowance of pending claims 11 and 22 to 25 is respectfully requested.

The Examiner is respectfully reminded that this case is entitled to accelerated examination.

Invitation for a telephone interview

The Examiner is invited to call the undersigned at 408-720-3486 if there remains any issue with allowance of this case.


Deposit Account

Please charge any shortages and credit any overages to Deposit Account No.
02-2666.

Respectfully submitted,

BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP

Sept 23
Dated: , 2005



H. Henry Black
Registration No. 43,320

12400 Wilshire Boulevard
Seventh Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90025-1030
(408) 720-8300